Radiative and mechanical feedback in the molecular gas in (Ultra)Luminous Infrared Galaxies



Paul van der Werf

Leiden Observatory





Credits



Marissa Rosenberg (Leiden Observatory) Kate Isaak (ESTEC) Padelis Papadopoulos (Cardiff) Marco Spaans (Kapteyn Astronomical Institute) Eduardo González-Alfonso (Henares) Rowin Meijerink (Leiden Observatory/Kapteyn Astronomical Institute) Edo Loenen (Leiden Observatory) Mher Kazandjian (Leiden Observatory) Axel Weiß (MPI für Radioastronomie) Frank Israel (Leiden Observatory) Thomas Greve (University College London) + the HerCULES team

Outline



Review of early SPIRE/FTS results

> CO as a probe of what?

> Tracing molecular gas mass



Mrk231 SPIRE FTS



- At z=0.042, one of the closest QSOs (D_L=192 Mpc)
- > With $L_{IR} = 4 \cdot 10^{12} L_{\odot}$, the most luminous ULIRG in the IRAS Revised bright Galaxy Sample
- "Warm" infrared colours
- Star-forming disk (~500 pc radius) + absorbed X-ray nucleus
- ➢ Face-on molecular disk, $M_{\rm H_2} \sim 5 \cdot 10^9 M_{\odot}$

CO excitation in Mrk231





High-J lines require XDR

 Low-J lines powered by star formation.

* 28 erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ \rightarrow G₀=10^{4.2}

H₂O excitation in Mrk231



 Radiative pumping dominates

H₂O probes the temperature and intensity of the local IR radiation field

(González-Alfonso et al., 2010)



NGC6240 – shocked gas





- LIRG, merger, 2 nuclei separated by 1.8"
- Molecular gas concentrated between the nuclei
- Gas excitation mostly by shocks/ dissipation of mechanical energy
- Bright H₂ emission confirms this

CO lines in NGC6240





- High excitation CO ladder
- Very high Line/Cont ratio
 - Shock
 excitation
 dominates

(Meijerink et al. 2013)

CO ladders: excited or shocked?





 CO ladders of Mrk231 (X-ray excited) and NGC6240 (shocked) are very similar

(Meijerink et al., 2013)

Taken by itself, the CO ladder is not a clean probe of physical processes! Addition information is needed (an often available).

CO ladders: general trends



excitation increases h $L_{\rm IR}$ and F_{60}/F_{100}

 Multiple excitation components always present in starburst galaxies/LIRGs/ULIRGs

(see also Lu et al. poster)

(see Greve *et al.* poster)

Feedback in molecular gas in (U)LIRGs

NGC 253





NGC253: SPIRE/FTS





Feedback in molecular gas in (U)LIRGs

(Rosenberg et al., in prep, and talk at this conference)

NGC253 CO ladder

- Simultaneous fit to ¹²CO, ¹³CO, HCN and HNC ladders
- Three excitation components required
- >70% of CO emission from high-excitation component
- Excitation mechanism?





CO excitation in NGC253



- Photon heating (PDRs) is not sufficient to produce the medium and high excitation gas components in NGC253
- Additional heating: mechanical (dissipation of turbulence) or cosmic rays; cf., Bradford et al. (NGC253), Rangwala et al. (Arp220)
- Chemistry (HCN/HNC) favours mechanical heating
- Mechanical heating is the dominant heating mechanism for the warm gas in NGC253
- > The need for additional heating is a general result for starbursts/LIRGs/ULIRGs

Sources of mechanical heating

- Supersonic turbulence is very dissipative
- > M_{gas} =10¹¹ M_{\odot}, Δv =400 km/s, dissipated over a crossing time in a ULIRG:

$$L_{\rm mech} \approx 10^{12} \; {\rm L}_{\odot} \approx L_{\rm IR}$$

What drives this turbulence? Large-scale shocks, outflows, stellar winds, SN explosions, radiation pressure?



outflows: talks by Sturm, Fischer, poster by Spoon, etc.





Herschel image of (part of) the Rosetta Molecular Cloud

Feedback in molecular gas in (U)LIRGs



- Sterrewacht Leiden
- Radiative pumping of H₂O lines: derive local FIR flux
- Combine with T_d: implies emission at the blackbody limit
- ➤ ⇒ Infrared-opaque ($\tau_{100\mu m} \approx 1$) central regions
- Radiation pressure from the strong IR radiation field:

$$P_{\rm rad} \approx \tau_{100} \sigma T_{\rm d}^4 / c$$

 Can be dominant pressure term and source of local turbulence

CO and molecular gas mass



 Converting CO flux (luminosity) into H₂ column density (mass):

$$X = \frac{N(H_2)}{I(CO)} = c_1 \left(\frac{n(H_2)}{200 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{line}}}{6K}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{M(H_2)}{L'(CO)} = c_2 \left(\frac{n(H_2)}{200 \text{ cm}^{-3}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_{\text{line}}}{6K}\right)^{-1}$$

(MW: α=4; ULIRGs: α=0.8)



(Papadopoulos, Van der Werf, Isaak & Xilouris, 2012)

X-factors based on low CO lines only Assumptions: 30 One gas component (U)LIRG sample, JLIRG value 25 Based on low-J CO lines only low-*J* lines 20 Frequency 15 > α -values cluster between 0.5 and 1 MW value 10

5

Ο

But:

Results:

Higher CO lines and density tracing lines reveal a substantial dense gas component

 $\chi_{co}^{(\beta)}$

0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 5.5 6 6.5 7

 $\left[\frac{M_{\odot}}{K\,km\,s^{-1}\,pc^2}\right]$

Molecular gas masses in NGC253

- The three components have comparable H₂ masses!
- Low-J CO lines alone miss a significant amount of molecular gas
- In ULIRGs, most mass may be in high-excitation component, not seen in low-J lines – to be verified





Need additional information to beat down

degeneracies (e.g., HCN)

- Use of ¹³CO to get at CO optical depth is problematic due to possibly redshiftdependent abundance ratio
- Calibration based on CO, [CI], HCN and L_{IR} may be feasible but still needs much work

100.5

Tracing molecular gas mass

Warning: discussions of this have been the death-blow for many conferences.

≻ CO:

- Need to deal with CO-dark molecular gas: [CII] – requires abundance and modelling
- Need multi-line data from 1-0 (2-1) to at least 9-8



C¹⁸O(3-2)

1.5

1.0 0.5

0.0

-0.5

Flux (mJy)

(Danielson, Swinbank *et al.*,

13CO(3-2)

astro-ph/1309.5952)



Can we use dust emission instead?



- > To derive M_{gas} from M_{dust} a dust/gas ratio is always needed
- A CO molecule is always a CO molecule, but dust grains at low and high redshift likely have different properties
- Need long-wavelength data (ALMA) in order to avoid to probe cool dust and avoid possible optical depth effects near continuum peak
- > Need also short-wavelength data to determine T_d but confusion at Herschel resolution (see Swinbank talk)
- Multiple temperature components difficult to disentangle

Summary



- The CO ladder probes the thermal state of the molecular gas, in particular cooling of the warm molecular gas.
- Prominent AGNs produce high-J CO lines, but so do shocks
- In star-forming galaxies, photon-heating is typically insufficient to account for the heating of the warm molecular gas; additional (and dominant) heating by cosmic rays or mechanical energy input is needed.
- Mechanical energy input and enhanced cosmic ray fluxes lead to chemical differences which can be separated observationally.
- > There can be significant mass in warm CO, which is not traced by low-*J* CO lines.