Searching for protoclusters in the far-infrared with Herschel/SPIRE

E. E. Rigby¹, H. Röttgering¹, N. Hatch², B. Sibthorpe³, Y. K. Chiang⁴, R. Overzier^{4,5}, R. Herbonnet¹, S. Borgani⁶, D. L. Clements⁷, H. Dannerbauer⁸, C. De Breuck⁹, G. De Lucia⁶, J. Kurk¹⁰, F. Maschietto¹, G. Miley¹, A. Saro¹¹, N. Seymour¹², B. Venemans¹³

- Galaxies; see Miley & De Breuck (2008) for a review.
- al. 2013, Valtchanov et al. 2013).
- surrounding environment.



f350/f250

• We restrict our protocluster search to sources which lie within $\pm 0.2(1 + z)$ of the redshift of the HzRG in each field. We do this by comparing their SPIRE colours with those of an artificially redshifted galaxy template (constructed following Roseboom et al. 2012), as illustrated here for one of our fields at z = 2.2. Stars and squares indicate sources selected and rejected as potential protocluster members respectively; solid points show the colour track of the template galaxy, broadened by a Gaussian uncertainty of 10%, as it evolves in redshift. Lines show the 1, 2 and 3σ contours in the template distribution.

¹Leiden University, ²Nottingham University, ³SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research,⁴University of Texas, ⁵Observatório Nacional, Brazil, ⁶INAF, ⁷Imperial College London, ⁸Universität Wien, ⁹ESO, ¹⁰Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, ¹¹Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, ¹²CSIRO, ¹³Max-Planck Institute for Astronomy

- known protoclusters (only 1 of the known protoclusters shows signs of an overdensity, but only at the 2σ level). Larger overdensities tend to lie at $L_{500MHz} \ge 10^{29}$ and z < 3, but there are no definite trends with either parameter. However, this apparent preference for brighter HzRGs is consistent with previous work (Galametz et al. 2012 & references therein).
- The 2 fields with the strongest overdensities are detected at the 3.9 and 4.3σ level respectively. The probability of finding $2 \ge 3\sigma$ overdensities such as these by chance, given that 19 fields were observed is 5×10^{-4} . These results, therefore, are inconsistent with being due to random background fluctuations.

ARE THE OVERDENSITIES CONSISTENT WITH PROTOCLUSTERS?

simulated sources matches that observed in the reference field.



- spread in the radial extent calculation.

SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

- fluctuations and are consistent with simulated protoclusters of mass > 10^{14} M_{\odot}.

References

•Diolaiti et al. 2000, Proc. SPIE, 4007, 879 •Galametz et al. 2012, ApJ, 749, 169 •Guo et al. 2011, MNRAS, 413, 101 •Hatch et al. 2011, MNRAS, 410, 1537 •Ivison et al. 2013, arXiv:1302.4436 •Miley & De Breuck 2008, A&A Rev., 15, 67 •Roseboom et al. 2012, 419, 2758 •Springel et al. 2005, Nature, 435, 629 •Valtchanov et al. 2013, arXiv:1309.4223 •Venemans et al. 2007, A&A, 461, 823

• A simple characterisation of the galaxy overdensities seen here can be found by comparing them to protocluster predictions from cosmological simulations. Chiang et al. (in prep) follow the evolution with redshift of ~ 3000 clusters in the Millennium Simulation (Springel et al. 2005, Guo et al. 2011), and can therefore match the properties of a local massive cluster to the high–redshift protocluster it grew from. This is compared to the *Herschel* results by applying a redshift–dependent cut in star–formation rate ($SFR \ge c10^{0.2z} M_{\odot}/yr$), assuming that the detected galaxies mirror the highest rank of SFR in the simulated galaxy population at a given redshift. The normalisation constant, *c*, is adjusted such that the mean surface density of

R_e (comoving Mpc)

▶ The above Figure shows the number of galaxies contained within simulated protoclusters as a function of their radial extent, for 3 different bins in descendent (i.e. z = 0) mass (coloured points). The black triangle shows the average size and number of galaxies of the $\geq 3\sigma$ overdensities in the *Herschel* sample. The uncertainties on the simulated points represent the spread in the simulated distribution; the uncertainties on the real data point come from the

• The position of the average $\geq 3\sigma$ galaxy overdensities seen in the *Herschel* data implies an enclosed mass for these structures of > 10^{14} M_{\odot}, which is consistent with that determined previously for HzRG–selected protoclusters (e.g. Venemans et al. 2007, Hatch et al. 2011). However, it should be noted that the large redshift range searched means that contamination of the overdensity from foreground and background galaxies is likely. Firm confirmation of the galaxy excesses seen here can only be done by reducing the redshift uncertainty.

Investigating the far-infrared environments surrounding 19 HzRGs has revealed significant galaxy overdensities in 2 fields. These are unlikely to be due to random background

The surface density of these fields at these wavelengths is generally low, which must in part be due to the large SPIRE beam making overdensities hard to identify here. Overall these results demonstrate that *Herschel* has the potential to identify protocluster candidates, but that this is a less successful technique here than at other wavelengths. It is likely that SPIRE is probing different structures than those identified using classical narrow–band or mid–infrared imaging. Future work will combine these SPIRE data with radio imaging to improve the selection of protocluster member galaxies, and understand the different far–infrared populations.