# Interplay between metallicity and properties of galaxies

Suzanne Madden (CEA Saclay) & Aurélie Rémy-Ruyer (CEA)

Frédéric Galliano, Vianney Lebouteiller, Diane Cormier Maud Galametz, Melanie Chevance, Min-Young Lee

# The plan

Describe a survey of a large variety of local universe galaxies SF activity, metallicity, morphology....

> Detailed SED model applied systematically to all galaxies

SED model parameters -> physical properties of galaxies

Gas-to-dust mass ratio (G/D) : a powerful tracer of evolutionay stage of a galaxy

Local Universe -a zoo of galaxies, including low metallicity dwarf galaxies - convenient labs to study of the evolution of the dust and gas properties

#### Survey of Dust properties in galaxies: The Sample

DGS : Dwarf Galaxy Survey Madden et al +13 Remy-Ruyer et al 2013; 2014

Kennicutt+11, Dale+12

**KINGFISH** 

48 galaxies

Low metallicity, star forming, gasrich dwarf galaxies



More metal-rich systems

61 galaxies

Observed with *Herschel & Spitzer* PACS : 70/100/160 μm + spectro SPIRE : 250/350/500 μm

Diane Cormier's talk



#### DGS (Dwarf Galaxy Survey)

credits: Galametz & Remy-Ruyer





Detailed SED modeling of 109 galaxies systematic.

Eldesax,

**Actor** 

**Attra** 

ZN202

full IR-submm wavelength range

Dust SED Model of Galliano+11

Grain size distribution Zubko+04

MW dust: graphite, Silicate and PAHs

Rémy-Ruyer et al. in prep

#### SED characterization to galactic properties



Position of the peak given by the average starlight intensity **<U>** 

# Width of the peak given by $\sigma^2 U$

(dispersion in the staright intensity distribution)

Can get high **o<sup>2</sup>U** With wide range of clumps in beam, for example

#### Dust temperature distribution: Metallicity



Dwarf galaxies have warmer dust and a broader range in dust temperature.
KINFGISH galaxies have a colder and narrower SEDs

Rémy-Ruyer et al. in prep

## SFR and sSFR and Metallicity



SFR Composite: Halpha corrected for attenuation with L<sub>TIR</sub>, Kennicutt et al. (2009)

sSFR M\* Eskew +(2012) irac 3.6 + 4.5 mu

Correlation sSFR & Z Speafman rank c Correlation coeff : -0.77

Rémy-Ruyer et al. in prep

#### What is controlling the SED shape? <U> (position of peak) and $\sigma^2 U$ (peak width)

#### Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficients

Param	12+log(O/H)	$\langle U \rangle$	$\sigma^2 U$	sSFR	M <sub>star</sub>
Mdust	0.76	-0.53	-0.56	-0.70	0.91
M <sub>dust</sub> /M <sub>star</sub>	-0.004	-0.60	-0.39	-0.03	-0.10
L <sub>TIR</sub>	0.63	-0.02	-0.10	-0.40	0.86
∫PAH	0.61	-0.41	-0.50	-0.75	0.68
$\langle U \rangle$	-0.46	1.00	0.87	0.68	-0.34
$\sigma^2 U$	-0.54	0.87	1.00	0.76	-0.46
SFR	0.46	0.11	0.05	-0.14	0.70
sSFR	-0.77	0.68	0.76	1.00	-0.76
M <sub>star</sub>	0.83	-0.34	-0.46	-0.76	1.00

Rémy-Ruyer et al. in prep

**Z** not strong correlation  $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$  (position of peak) and  $\sigma^2 \mathbf{U}$  (peak width) sSFR correlates with  $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$  (position of peak) and  $\sigma^2 \mathbf{U}$  (peak width)

#### The lowest metallicity galaxies in local universe

<u>SBS0335-052</u> Z~ 2.3% Zsolar

D=58 Mpc >10 000 stars in 6 (<50 pc) SSCs n<sub>e</sub> ~600 – 2000 cm-3 SFR~ 1 Mo/yr

*Dense, compact, More active SF regions*  <u>IZw18</u> Z~ 2% Zsolar

D=10 Mpc 1100 stars in 2 clusters No SSCs  $n_e \sim 100$  cm-3 SFR ~ 0.4-0.1 Mo/yr

*Diffuse, passive, low n SF regions* 



#### The lowest metallicity galaxies in local universe

<u>SBS0335-052</u> Z~ 2.3% Zsolar

D=58 Mpc >10 000 stars in 6 (<50 pc) SSCs n<sub>e</sub> ~600 – 2000 cm-3 SFR~ 1 Mo/yr

*Dense, compact, More active SF regions*  <u>IZw18</u> Z~ 2% Zsolar

D=10 Mpc 1100 stars in 2 clusters No SSCs  $n_e \sim 100$  cm-3 SFR ~ 0.4-0.1 Mo/yr

*Diffuse, passive, low n SF regions* 







#### Submm excess ?



500mu excess : the residual at 500 μm > its error bar

- Include (or not) 500mu point ?
- Conclusion:

8 galaxies show excess when using the 500mu point & dust masses are not effected with or without 500mu G/D vs Z: Observed relation

Atomic gas mass : HI

Molecular gas mass : H2, use X<sub>CO,Z</sub> from Schruba+12

Same « strong line » method for the whole sample

as a function of metallicity

From dust model

Sample : DGS, KINGFISH, and Galametz+11

### G/D vs Z: Empirical relations

#### Rémy-Ruyer+14



Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014

#### ≻Trend with Z

Large scatter

• Power law : G/D as  $Z^{-\alpha}$ 

> Get  $\alpha$  = 2.0 ± 0.3

2 power laws :
G/D as Z<sup>-αL</sup> at low
metallicities

 $\succ$  Get αL = 3.1 ± 1.3

Transition at 12+log(O/H) = 8.10

#### G/D vs Z: Chemical evolution models

Rémy-Ruyer+14



• Chemical evolution model by Asano+13

 « Critical » metallicity over which dust growth is the main process in the dust mass evolution

Depend on the star formation timescale

Dust growth in the ISM is fundamental (also Zhukovska et al 2014)
Scatter can be explained if you account for the different star formation histories of the sources

## Summary

#### • Dust temperature, SF, metallicities:

- Warmer dust, larger range of dust temperatures in low Z
- Joint effect of low-metallicity and high star-formation activity
- Wide spread in SFR but sSFR anticorrelated with Z high in low metallicity galaxies

#### Dust Mass and Gas/Dust mass ratios

- Strong NON LINEAR metallicity evolution
- Metals incororated into dust less efficiently until grain-growth has improved efficiency (Z~1/4)
- Large scatter can be explained with different star formation histories
- New empirical relation to estimate the G/D from metallicities

 Caution going from dust mass to total gas mass – large variations.