

A New population of Orion protostars Discovered by Herschel

Amy Stutz (MPIA)

John Tobin (NRAO), Will Fischer (U. of Toledo) Thomas Stanke (ESO), Tom Megeath (U. of Toledo; HOPS PI), Babar Ali (NHSC), Tom Robitaille (MPIA), Thomas Henning (MPIA), **James Di Francesco** (Herzberg Institute), Manoj Puravankara (U. of Rochester), Dan Watson (U. of Rochester), and the HOPS team

NGC2068 detail; PACS 70 (blue) & 160 um (green), and APEX 870 um (red)



PBRs identification and selection



PBRS1 HOPS223 (Fischer ea., sub. ApJ) HOPS221



- •First: serendipitous detection of PBRS1
- •Source extraction @ 70 um: PhotVis (Gutermuth ea. 08)
- •Cross-correlate with Spitzer catalog: New sample: all sources with 24 um magnitude > 6.5
- •FWHM filtering: eliminate contamination from nebulosity, extended sources, etc.
- •Sample of new 70 um point-sources

PBRs and HOPS protostar locations within Orion



PBRs and HOPS protostar locations within Orion



Spitzer sources (HOPS PACS spectroscopy results: poster by P. Manoj) Filamentary material (see poster on Orion 870 um program by Thomas Stanke)

New Herschel sources

NGC2068 detail; PACS 70 (blue) & 160 um (green), and APEX 870 um (red)

The colors of PBRs and Spitzer Orion protostars



- 277 HOPS Spitzer identified protostars
- 77 new Herschel sources: PBRs
 50 detected at 24 um, 27 undetected at 24 um

The colors of PBRs and Spitzer Orion protostars



- 277 HOPS Spitzer identified protostars
- 77 new Herschel sources: PBRs
 50 detected at 24 um, 27 undetected at 24 um
- 70/24 > 1.65 color selection of 13 reddest sources:

7 detected at 24 um, 6 undetected at 24 um.

The colors of PBRs and Spitzer Orion protostars



- 77 new Herschel sources: PBRs 50 detected at 24 um, 27 undetected at 24 um
- 70/24 > 1.65 color selection of 13 reddest sources: •Can the new sources be explained

7 detected at 24 um, 6 undetected at 24 um.

- Colors consistent with VeLLOs, Class 0
- Colors not consistent with FHSC models (e.g., B. Comercon ea.)
- by high inclination, high density?

13 reddest PBRs: Observed Properties



IRAC 8.0 µm





- ο PACS 70 μm
- O
 PACS 160 μm

0

MIPS 24 μ m

- •Heterogeneous IRAC emission, extended if detected
- •Selection: point-sources at 70 um
- Always detected at 160 um and longer
- •Thermal SED homogeneous
- Some (~4 / 13) show indications of binarity
- •Resolved envelopes at 350 um
- •Heterogeneous environments: isolated/filaments



Three SED fitting approaches



- Modified Black Body
 - Assume optically thin emission & single temperature
 - •Fit long- λ points
 - •Obtain more reliable source masses (?)
- HOPS RT model grid
 - •Use Whitney ea. code
 - •2-D models with cavities
 - •No external heating included (yet)
 - Uniform parameter sampling
- •Qualitative (and preliminary!):
 - •Hyperion (Robitaille, 2012) model image comparison
 - Include external heating
 - •Assume 1-D spherical geometry (for now)

SED fitting (1): restricted HOPS RT model grid

Table 1: Grid model parameters.

Parameter	Description	Value(g)	Mass Infall
	Description	value(s)	opening angle i
Central object & observe:	<u>r:</u>	~ ~	numerana in the second
$M_*(\mathrm{M}_{\odot})$	Mass of the central object	0.5	
$R_{*}~({ m R}_{\odot})$	Radius of the central object	2.09	Disk Observer
$T_*(\mathrm{K})$	Surface temp. of central *	4000	Kenv,ma line of sig
$i \; (degrees)$	Inclination angle	18.2, 31.8, 41.4, 49.5	5, 56.7, Rdisk,max
		63.3, 69.5, 75.6, 81.4	4.87.2 Cavity
Envelope Properties:			Envelope
$\overline{\dot{M}_{\rm env}} \; (\times 10^{-6} \; {\rm M}_{\odot} \; {\rm yr}^{-1})$	Mass infall rate	0, 0.05, 0.075, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2.5, 5,	
		7.5, 10, 25, 50, 75, 100, 250, 500, 750, 1000	
$R_{\rm env,max}$ (AU)	Envelope outer radius	10000	
$R_{ m env,min} \; (R_*)$	Envelope inner radius	Equal to the dust destruction radius	
R_C (AU)	Centrifugal radius	Equal to $R_{\rm disk,max}$	
Disk properties:			
$M_{\rm disk} ({ m M}_{\odot})$	Disk mass	0.05	
$\dot{M}_{\rm disk} \; (\times 10^{-8} \; {\rm M}_{\odot} \; {\rm yr}^{-1})$	Disk \dot{M} /Luminosity	1,10,100,1000	
$R_{\rm disk,max}$ (AU)	Disk outer radius	5,100,500	
$R_{ m disk,min}$	Disk inner radius	Equal to the dust destruction radius	
α	Disk radial density exponent	$2.25 \ (= \beta + 1)$	
eta	Disk scale height exponent	1.25	 Using the Whitney ea code
Cavity properties			 Vary 5 parameters (listed in bold)
$\theta_C \ (degrees)$	Cavity opening angle	5, 15, 25, 35, 45	12000 modele in total
b	Cavity shape exponent	1.5	
			 External heating not included

Note. — Parameters in bold are varied in the model grid variables. Number of models: 1200. This grid is a modification of the grid published in Ali et al. (2010); the main parameter expansion is of the $\dot{M}_{\rm env}$ rates. Opacities are from D'Alessio et al. (2001). Foreground extinction model is from McClure (2009). See Figure 1 for a schematic illustration of the model parameters.

SED fitting (1): restricted HOPS RT model grid

•Two tests:

•(1) Full SED fit using λF_{λ} weighting



SED fitting (2): model image comparison



Preliminary!

- •Hyperion spherical models
- (Robitaille 2011)
- Internal source required
- •Shallow envelope density profiles filtered out
- •External heating not a large effect (?) (ISRF = Porter & Strong 2005)
- •Resolved submm envelopes require image analysis; radial profile modeling
- •Next steps: 2-D; external heating; radial profile modeling



Comparison to other HOPS protostars



- •PBRs are the coldest sources 70um-detected sources
- •Have similar luminosities to the known Class 0 sources in Orion

Comparison to the reddest Class 0 sources:PBRs have similar (~higher) massesPBRs span a similar range of luminosities



PBRs consistent with high inclination Class 0'sSources not so straight forward to model



- PBRs consistent with high inclination Class 0'sSources not so straight forward to model
- •Severe model degeneracies
- •Constrain inclination with ALMA
- •Address external heating effects
- •Soon: IRAM 30m CO & N₂H⁺ maps!