Dust temperature and Emissivity in the LMC from the Heritage SDP observations

Herschel content from : "Determining Dust Temperatures and Masses in the Herschel Era: The Importance of Observations Longward of 200 micron" by

Gordon et al. (accepted yesterday)

J.-Ph. Bernard, K. Gordon, F. Galliano, S. Hony, A. Bolatto, C. Bot, C. Engelbracht, A. Hughes, F. P. Israel, F. Kemper, S. Kim, E. Kwon, A. Li, S. C. Madden, M. Matsuura, M. Meixner, K. Misselt, K. Okumura, P. Panuzzo, D. Paradis, M. Rubio, W. T. Reach, J. Roman-Duval, M. Sauvage, R. Skibba, A.G.G.M. Tielens and SAGE & Heritage teams D=55 kpc

 $Z \sim 1/3$ solar

Seen face-on



Dust temperature: a critical parameter

BG dominate dust mass and are a good tracer of the total ISM in MW and galaxies They radiate at equilibrium with ISRF, so T_D reflects ISRF strength. Other quantities of interest (dust mass, size, optical properties) need precise knowledge of T_D

Past studies have been limited by accuracy of T_D determination. Use of IRAS 100 μ m -> limited resolution, gain artifacts, contamination ... This is about to change with Herschel.



70 μm excess in LMC & SMC

- Large excess observed in MW, LMC, SMC
- Rising from MW to SMC (with decreasing Z)
- Found mainly in neutral gas
- In LMC, could be explained by modifying the grain size distribution (increase of grains with size intermediate between VSG and BG).
- Grain erosion processes in the diffuse medium ?
- Definitely a limitation in deriving T_D





Dust Temp. map



T map derived from I_{160}/I_{100} using $\beta=2$ HII regions contours

This is the first time the dust T distribution can be evidenced over LMC at 4' resolution.

Coherent variations of the dust temperature are clearly evidenced.

T map has defects (stripes) imposed by IRAS 100 µm map: Waiting for Herschel

Very important: It's our map of the radiation field

FIR optical depth excess



Assuming dust/gas=MW/2.1

Best fit at low N_H

- τ_{160} shows departure from correlation with total N_H derived from HI and CO taken in low N_H regions. - Transition is around N_H=2 10²¹ H/cm² (Av=0.3 mag in LMC)

Slopes reconcile when N_H is dominated by molecular: argues against dust abundance variations
Similar transition seen in extinction: Argues against variations of the FIR dust optical properties (aggregation)

Dark molecular gas ?



$$\left(\frac{\tau_{160}}{N_H}\right) = 8.810^{-26} \, cm^2$$

$$N_H^{obs} = X_{HI} W_{HI} + 2X_{CO} W_{CO}$$

$$X_{CO} = 7 \, 10^{20} \, H_2 \, / \, cm^2 \, / (Kkm/M)$$

Correlates with total N_H
No obvious correlation with limited H₂ measurements (FUSE)
No obvious correlation with limited HI self-absorption
Could be either HI self absorption or H₂ phase without CO (dark molecular gas)
Total mass = 2*HI mass (20 times CO) !

• Correlation with HI indicates good mixing (clumps ?)

Dust Composition variations



Paradis et al. 2009, AJ 138, 196 :Abundances derived from model inversion on each Line of Sight (taking radiation field intensity into account)

PAH over-abundant in part of the stellar bar: remnant of past formation by old stellar population ?
PAH over-abundant around some molecular clouds: PAH haloes similar to those observed in the MW ?
Large fraction of ionized PAH required to explain SED of selected regions

VSG over-abundant in part of the stellar bar: remnant of past formation by old stellar population ?
VSG over-abundant around 30-Dor in the region of 70 µm excess

• VSG trace regions of star formation

• VSG and PAH have very different spatial distributions



Herschel SDP data

Heritage SDP data processing: See Meixner talk

Additional processing for diffuse emission:

- MW foreground subtraction (HI +MW SED) using MW emissivity from Bernard et al. 2008

- Subtraction of NS gradient based on all data at end of stripe
- PACS data showing residual striping not used in the analysis
- Calibration of SPIRE data used beam area provided by M. Ferlet
- Data was smoothed to common resolution of IRAS (4.3')





Herschel SDP data

Greybody fitted to Spitzer 160 + SPIRE 250 and 350 μ m. 15% error assumed for SPIRE Best overall grey body fit to the data using a fixed β leads to β ~1.5 (lowest residuals) Residuals show:

- Real features at 100 and 160 µm
- Instrument artifacts in SPIRE bands
- Significant excess at 500 µm



Histograms of residuals:



Dust Temperature map



FIR Excess



FIR excess was analyzed in a paper of the A&A special issue by Duval et al. They examined 2 molecular regions in NT80 and NT71

Confirms the existence of a strong FIR excess with respect to HI and CO Study favors H_2 without CO

For more details, see Duval's poster

500 μ m excess ?

500 µm excess emission appears:

- Not localized in higher column density regions which probably excludes very cold dust
- Weakly anti-correlated with MIPS 24 µm

Could be due to an absolute calibration effect, or an actual flattening of the dust SED at long λ .



Long wavelengths ?



Integrated LMC & SMC SEDs from Israel et al. 2010 and Bot et al. 2010 in prep

FIR Data: Dirbe, Top-Hat, WMAP +radio Model: Draine & Li 2007

Large excess seen in the millimeter. Could this be linked with the small excess detected at 500 µm ?

Current explanations includespinning dust (specially tuned model)optical properties from amorphous dust

The Planck data will be crucial to elucidate origin of excess

Long wavelengths ?

Laboratory data on amorphous analogs show that β varies with both temperature and wavelengths

Flattening (lower β) at higher dust temperature and longer wavelength Expected from theory of amorphous material (Meny et al. 2004)



Dust emissivity variations

Results by Paradis et al. 2009 (DIRBE+WMAP+Archeops) in the MW:

- Break in emissivity at λ ~500 µm (could be due to amorphous nature of dust) - Increased emissivity in λ -range of Herschel in cold molecular clouds (probably due to dust aggregation)



Flattening will be difficult to see with Herschel only (Planck needed) Increased emissivity should be detectable towards cold MCs. Question is what is the spectral

shape of this excess.

Conclusions

Analysis of diffuse emission in the LMC with IRAS + Spitzer was limited by angular resolution (4') and quality of 100 μ m data and contaminations (e.g. 70 μ m excess)

Herschel brings the data that will allow us to greatly improve the analysis In particular, it will allow dust temperature determination at higher angular resolution and further away from known contaminations.

Preliminary results for the LMC favor $\beta=1.5$ which minimizes residuals, but the actual SED could be more complicated than a single grey body

LMC results are otherwise consistent with previous findings so far ...

The FIR excess emission in the LMC is confirmed and an H_2 phase without CO remains the most likely explanation. Precise mass determination will have to wait for the full Heritage dataset

Combination with longer λ data (Planck) will be critical.