# **Probing the assembly of massive galaxies through the evolution of their Specific Star Formation rate up to z=2**

i.e.

Astronomy & Astrophysics manuscript no. 14624 May 4, 2010 © ESO 2010

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

### The first Herschel view of the mass-SFR link in high-z galaxies \*

G. Rodighiero<sup>1</sup>, A. Cimatti<sup>2</sup>, C. Gruppioni<sup>3</sup>, P. Popesso<sup>4</sup>, P. Andreani<sup>5,13</sup>, B. Altieri<sup>7</sup>, H. Aussel<sup>8</sup>, S. Berta<sup>4</sup>, A. Bongiovanni<sup>9</sup>, D. Brisbin<sup>10</sup>, A. Cava<sup>9</sup>, J. Cepa<sup>9</sup>, E. Daddi<sup>8</sup>, H. Dominguez-Sanchez<sup>3</sup>, D. Elbaz<sup>8</sup>, A. Fontana<sup>6</sup>, N. Förster Schreiber<sup>4</sup>, A. Franceschini<sup>1</sup>, R. Genzel<sup>4</sup>, A. Grazian<sup>6</sup>, D. Lutz<sup>4</sup>, G. Magdis<sup>8</sup>, M. Magliocchetti<sup>11</sup>, B. Magnelli<sup>4</sup>, R. Maiolino<sup>6</sup>, C. Mancini<sup>12</sup>, R. Nordon<sup>4</sup>, A. M. Perez Garcia, A. Poglitsch<sup>4</sup>, P. Santini<sup>6</sup>, M. Sanchez-Portal<sup>7</sup>, F. Pozzi<sup>2</sup>, L. Riguccini<sup>8</sup>, A. Saintonge<sup>4</sup>, L. Shao<sup>4</sup>, E. Sturm<sup>4</sup>, L. Tacconi<sup>4</sup>, I. Valtchanov<sup>7</sup>, M. Wetzstein<sup>4</sup>, and E. Wieprecht<sup>4</sup>



Giulia Rodighiero on behalf of the PEP consortium

# Why study the evolution of the specific SFR?

The Specific SFR (SSFR) is often used as a measure of the star formation efficiency of a galaxy, since it provides information about the fraction of a galaxy's mass which could be converted into stars in a given time.

A higher SSFR means a galaxy will increase its mass by a greater fraction in a given time than a lower SSFR. Dunne et al. (2009)

# PEP: PACS Evolutionary Probe (Lutz talk!) SDP data in the GOODS-North

100 micron

160 micron



#### **SAMPLE SELECTION:**

From internal PEP multiwavelength catalog

Spitzer-IRAC 4.5 mag < 23: 4459 sources

**1887** with MIPS 24 μm SNR>3 and PSF-fitted photometry

351 with a PACS 100  $\mu$ m and/or 160  $\mu$ m SNR>3 and PSF-fitted photometry

#### **MAIN INGREDIENTS:**

Masses from stellar SED fitting to the UV-5.8µm range (Bruzual & Charlot 2003 and Maraston 05)
SFR ⇔ L(IR) from fitting to the whole observed multivelength SEDs

# Mass completeness as a function of redshift for a flux limited sample at [4.5]<23.0, derived from synthetic stellar population models



We use the constant SFR templates of BC03, different ages, and dust extinction parameters (E(B-V)=0.3, 0.5,0.8).

In our analysis we adopt the most conservative mass-completeness limit (dot-dashed magenta line), above which even the oldest (2 Gyr) and highly extincted star-forming galaxy population would be entirely recovered.

SED fitting examples: BC03 + Polletta '07



May-5-10

(See also C. Gruppioni's Poster, P1.66)

#### The redshift – mass – IR luminosity space of the IRAC [4.5]<23.00 sample



## **Total IR luminosities: Polletta vs Chary&Elbaz**



## **IR luminosities: Spitzer versus Herschel**

@z-2.0 : MIPS overestimates LIR by a factor ~2

(when including PACS upper limits in the analysis, i.e. by stacking, this factor increases up to ~4! Nordon et al. 2010 A&A special issue, see Poster P2.58 + Elbaz's talk)



SFR from stellar fit vs SFR from L(IR) SED fitting



May 5-10

\_1(

#### Stellar Mass vs SFR (LIR SED fitting) for PACS & MIPS sources



-We observe the existence of a (rather scattered) positive correlations between the SFR and stellar mass at all redshifts.

-The comparison with Elbaz et al. (2007) at z~1 and Daddi et al. (2007) at z~2 shows that their observed slope of the SFR-mass relation is not inconsistent with our results.

-A negative trend of SSFR with mass is evident at all redshifts, although the scatter is quite large.

- The bulk of PACS and/or MIPS sources is located above the horizontal dotted line (the inverse of the age of the Universe), indicating that these systems are experiencing a major episode of star formation, forming stars more actively than in their recent past and building up a substantial fraction of their final stellar mass.

#### Herschel: constraining tighter high-z relations?

PACS detects only the brightest objects and we cannot than verify that the scatter is intrinsically lower. However, the fact that at least at high luminosities, at  $z\sim2$ , PACS produces a smaller scatter (because it provides a more accurate SFR), might suggest that a similar trend should happen also at low luminosities.



Fig. 3. Relation of the stellar mass as a function of the SSFR for PACS detected sources in various redshift bins: the SFR for red points has been computed from PACS fluxes, while for black points it has been extrapolated from the SED fitting from the  $24\mu$ m data.

We performed a stacking analysis including all sources of the original IRAC with [4.5]<23.0 sample. We splitted the sample in bins of mass and redshift, and stacked on a residual 160µm map.

To exclude passive sources we applied an empirical color selection of (U-B)rf<1.1, calibrated from our data, and, to recover massive dusty sources that might fall into the red sequence, we included in the stacking analysis also sources with (U-B)rf>1.1 and mag[24]-mag[3.6]>0.5.

#### Color bimodality: (U-B)r.f. vs stellar mass



#### **Color selection to clean the star forming catalog from passive MIPS sources**



#### **Going deeper: STACKING analysis on PACS maps**



The slope of our SSFR-mass relation becomes steeper with redshift.

At z<1, our results are in broad agreement with those based on radio-stacking that found almost flat relations up to z~2 (Dunne et al. 2009, Pannella et al. 2009), while at z>1 our relation evolves toward stronger dependencies.

#### **Combining far-IR detection and no-detections: STACKING analysis on PACS maps**

**Downsizing** the higher the masses, the lower the sSFR at all z

Flattening above z~1.5 for log(mass)>10.5



May-5-10

Dunne et

al.

2009 – radio stacking

redshift

Damen et al. 2009

# **Main conclusions:**

1) Consistently with other Herschel results, we find that L(IR) based only on 24  $\mu$ m data is overestimated by a median factor ~2 at z~2 (with our approach). We exploited this calibration to correct L(IR) based on the MIPS/Spitzer fluxes.

2) The slope of the SSFR-mass relation becomes steeper with redshift ( $\alpha$ =-0.25 at z<1 and  $\alpha$ =-0.5 at z~2) at odds with recent works based on radio-stacking analysis at the same redshift at z~2.

3) The mean SSFR of star-forming sources rises with redshift, up to a factor ~15 for the most massive galaxies (log(M)>11), implying that galaxies tend to form their stars more actively at higher redshifts.

4) The mean SSFR seems also to flatten at z>1.5 for log(M)>10.5.

5) The most massive galaxies have the lowest SSFR at any redshift, implying that they have formed their stars earlier and more rapidly than their low mass counterparts (downsizing).