

The CHESS Spectral Survey of Star Forming Region: Peering into the protostellar shock L1157-B1 I. Shock Chemical Complexity

Codella C. ¹, Lefloch B.², Ceccarelli C.², Cernicharo J.³, Caux E.⁴, Lorenzani A.¹, Viti S.5,6, Hily-Blant P.², Parise B.⁷, Maret S.², Nisini B.⁸, Caselli P.^{9,1}, Cabrit S.¹⁰, Pagani L.¹⁰, Benedettini M.⁶, Boogert A.¹¹, Gueth F.¹², Melnick G.¹³, Neufeld D.¹⁴, Pacheco S.², Salez M.¹⁰, Schuster K.¹², Bacmann A.^{2,15}, Baudry A.¹⁵, Bell T.¹⁶, Blake G.¹⁶, Bottinelli S.⁴, Castets A.², Comito C.⁷, Coutens A.⁴, Crimier N.^{2,3}, Dominik C.^{17,18}, Demyk K.⁴, Encrenaz P.¹⁰, Falgarone E. ¹⁰, Fuente A.¹⁹, Gerin M.¹⁰, Goldsmith P.²⁰, Helmich F.²¹, Henning Th.²², Herbst E.²³, Jacq T.¹⁵, Kahane C.², Kama M.¹⁷, Klotz A.², Langer W.²⁰, Lis D.¹⁶, Lord S.¹⁶, Pearson J.²⁰, Phillips T.¹⁶, Saraceno P.⁶, Schilke P.^{7,24}, Tielens X.²⁵, van der Tak F.²¹, van der Wiel M.^{26,21}, Vastel C.⁴, Wakelam V. ¹⁵, Walters A.⁴, Wyrowski F.⁷, Yorke H.²⁰, Borys C.¹⁶, Delorme Y.¹⁰, Kramer C.²⁷, Larsson B.²⁸, Mehdi I.²⁰, Ossenkopf V.²⁴, Stutzki J.²⁴

le, France 3: CSIC, Madrid, Spain 4: CESR, To : INAF-IFSI, Roma, Italy 7: MPIfR, Bonn, Germany 8: INAF-OAR, Monteporzio, Italy University, Baltimore, USA 15: CNRS/INSU, Floirac, France 16: Caltech, Pasadena, ian 20: JPL, Pasadena, USA 21: SRON, Groningen, The Netherlands 22: MPFA, tronomical Institute, Groningen, The Netherlands 27: IRAM, Granada, Spain 28: versity of Leeds, UK 10: IPAC, Pasadena, USA 11: LERMA, Meudon, France 12: IRAM, Grenoble, France 13: CfA, Cambridge, USA 14: Johns Ho USA 17: University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands 18: Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Nijmegen, The Netherlands 19: IGN-OAN, Madrid, delberg, Germany 23: Ohio State University, Columbus, USA 24: Universität zu Köln, Germany 25: Leiden University, The Netherlands 26: Kapteyn Stockholm University, Sweden



Neufeld et al. (2009), Codella et al. (2009)

H₂: grey CO: Fig. 2 L1157-B1 contours 20 CHOH 10 Offset 0 B1 -10 Jec. -10 10 R.A. Offset (arcsec) 20^h39^m20^{*}0 10^{*}0

L115

The chemical active outflow L1157

The L1157 outflow, located at a distance estimated between 250 pc and 440 pc may be regarded as The ideal laboratory to observe the effects of shocks on the gas chemistry, being the archetype of the so-called chemically rich outflows. The low-luminosity (4-11 L_0) Class 0 protostar drives a precessing molecular outflow associated with several bow shocks seen in CO and in H₂. The brightest blue-shifted bow-shock, called B1 (Figs. 1-2), has been mapped with the PdB and VLA arrays revealing a rich and clumpy structure, the clumps being located at the wall of the cavity with an arch-shape (Tafalla & Bachiller 1995, Benedettini et al. 2007, Codella et al. 2009).

L1157-B1 is well traced by molecules released by dust mantles such as H₂CO, CH₃OH, and NH₃ as well (e.g. Nisini et al. 2007). However, a detailed study of the excitation conditions of the B1 structure is still missing due to the limited range of excitation covered by the cm- and mm-observations performed so far. Observations of sub-mm lines with high excitation (> 50-100 K) are required. As part of the Herschel Key Program CHESS, L1157-B1 is currently investigated with an unbiased spectral survey using HIFI. We report here the first results based on the 555-636 GHz observations, revealing different molecular components at different excitation conditions coexisting in the B1 bow structure.

0.1 0.03 (¥) 0 1 0.04 0.04		0.04 0.1 0.06 0.06 0.06	
	20 - 10 0 10	-	20 -10 0 10
	V _{LSR} (km s)	Fig 21	V _{LSR} (Km s)
		rig. J	and the second se

Different tracers at different velocities

A total of 27 emission lines have been detected, with a wide range of upper level energies, up to a few hundred of K (Table 1). CO and H₂O are discussed in Lefloch et al. poster. Previous PdBI observations showed that L1157-B1 is associated with very high velocities (HVs) down to -20 km/s (Vsys = +2.6 km/s). The lack of detected emission in the HV regime in the present HUFL nexter sould be due to the relatively laws (M total law be due). = +2.6 km/s). The lack of detected emission in the MY regime in the present HIF spectra could be due to the relatively low S/N ratio. Indeed, the PdBI images indicate that the brightness of the HV regime is weaker than the emission at low velocities by a factor of 5-10. The spectra in Fig. 3 show that a similar emissio could lie below the noise. Note that the HV emission is mostly confined within the eastern B1a clump (Fig. 2), in an emitting region with size 10", whereas low velocity lines originate in the bow-structure and in the walls of the outflow cavity, on a typical size of 15"-18". The forthcoming HIFI-CHESS observations at higher frequencies and at higher spatial resolution (dashed circle in Fig. 2) should allow us to study the HV wings in species other than CO and $\rm H_2O$.

The present HIFI spectra reveal another velocity component (here called medium velocity, MV), previously unidentified, traced by a secondary peak around -4.0 km/s and well outlined by HCN(7-6). The MV peak is visible also in $NH_3(1_0-0_0)$ and in some lines of CH_3OH and H_2CO , but its occurrence does not show any clear trend with the choice of tracer of line excitation. No single-dish spectra had previously revealed such a spectral feature, while an inspection of the spectra observed at PdBI shows that the MV secondary peak as observed in a couple of lines of the $CH_3OH(2r_s 1_k)$ series and only towards the western B1b clump (size 5")

Figure 4 shows the comparison between the profiles of the NH₃(1₀·0₀) and H₂CO(8₁₇-7₁₆) lines with the H₂O(1₁₀-1₀₁) profile, where the S/N allows us such an Figure 4 shows the comparison between the profiles of the $Hi_3(L_0 \cup_0)$ and $H_2 \cup U(s_{12}, r_{12})$ lines with the $H_2 \cup (L_{10}, L_{10})$ profile, where the 3/N allows us such an analysis (MV and LV ranges). As a notable example, the Hi_3/H_2 O intensity ratio decrease by a factor about 5 moving towards higher velocities: just optical depth effects or real decrease in the abundance ratios? In the latter case, this could reflect different pre-shock ice compositions in the gas emitting the MV emission. Alternatively, this behavior is consistent with the speculation that NH_3 is released by grain mantles, whereas water is released by grain mantles and, in addition, copiously formed in the warm shocked gas by endothermic reactions, which convert all gaseous atomic oxygen into H_2O . Water abundance could be enhanced with respect to ammonia in the fast and warm (> 200 K) gas, which might explain why the H_2O wings are larger than those of $M_2 = 0$ and $H_2 = 0$ and $H_2 = 0$ and $H_2 = 0$ and $H_2 = 0$ with the speculation of the fast and yarm (> 200 K) gas. NH₃, of CH₃OH and H₂CO, all species directly evaporated from dust grain mantles.





We derive a first estimate of the emitting gas temperature by means of the standard analysis of the rotational diagram. We show the case of methanol (A- and E-forms) in Fig. 5. The derived rotational temperature (Trot) is 106 K, a lower limit on the kinetic temperature. In the same figure we report the methanol lines $(2_{\kappa}, 1_{\kappa})$ Too K, a lover limit of the kinetic temperature, in the same right we report the mean limit (a_k, b_k) observed with PdBI and whose intensity is integrated in the HIF139" beam. The Trot derived by the ground-based data (based only on lines with Eu < 50 K) is definitely lower, 12 K, in agreement with that found with the 30-m spectra in the same excitation range. As discussed by Goldsmith & Langer (1999), this behavior could be due to the presence of two components at different temperatures or to non-LTE effects and line opacity. The two cases cannot be distinguished based only on the rotational diagram. Note that Nisini et al. (2010, in press) fit their H₂ rotation diagram with different slopes and thus different Trot, from 300 K to 1400 K.

Finally, if we combine the HIFI CS(12-11) line with CS(2-1) and (3-2) lines observed with ground based telescopes, we also derive with the LVG Thanky, it we combine the HiFLS(12-11) line with CS(2-1) and (3-2) lines observed with ground based telescopes, we also derive with the LVG approach, a Tkin definitely above 300 K (Fig. 6). Caution should be taken since we could trace different gas components, as suggested by CH₂0H, the gas at higher excitation being traced by CS(12-11). If we analyse only the (2-1)/(3-2) intensity ratio, the LVG model does not allow one to give any constrain on Tkin, but we infer densities around 4 x 10⁴ cm⁻³. Interestingly, if we check a possible dependence of n_{H2} on velocity, the LV range indicates a denser medium (10⁵ cm⁻³) by an order of magnitude with respect to the MV gas. In conclusion, the present HIFI observations provide a sort of link between the gas at 60-200 K previously observed from ground and the warmer gas probed by the H₂ lines. Additional HIFI observations in the THz region are planned to be taken towards 1157-B1 to observe more species and transitions, carrying out the study of the different gas components associated with the bow structure.



-10

(km

 $o-H_2O(1_{10}-1_{01})$ $CH_3OH E (11_{2,9} - 10_{1,9})$ $o-H_2CO(8_{18}-7_{17})$ $CH_3OH E (3_{2,2} - 2_{1,2})$ $o-NH_3(1_0-0_0)$ CO(5-4)

 $_{2}^{(3)}CO(8_{08}-7_{07})$ $_{3}^{(3)}OH A^{-}(2_{2,1}-2_{1,2})$

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm PH}(CD88e^{-f(m)})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{-}(2z_{1}-1_{10}))\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{+}(2z_{1}-1_{10})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{+}(2z_{1}-1_{10})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{+}(2z_{1}-1_{10})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{-}(2z_{1}-1_{10})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{-}(3z_{1}-6z_{2})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{-}(3z_{1}-6z_{2})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{-}(3z_{1}-6z_{2})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{-}(3z_{1}-6z_{2})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{-}(3z_{1}-2z_{2})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{-}(3z_{2}-2z_{1})-1_{10})\\ {\rm CH}(OHA^{-}(3z_{2}-2z_{1})-1_{1$

 $\begin{array}{c} CH_{3}OH \ E \ (13_{1,13}-12_{1,12})\\ CH_{3}OH \ A^{*} \ (13_{0,13}-12_{0,12})\\ CH_{3}OH \ A^{*} \ (3_{12}-2_{12})\\ CH_{3}OH \ A^{*} \ (7_{1,7}-6_{0,6})\\ \textbf{o}\text{-}H_{2}CO(9_{19}\text{-}8_{18}) \end{array}$

 $H_2O(1_{10}-1_{01})$ NH₃(1₀-0₀) x 7.4

HCN(7-6) × 9.0 H₂CO(8₀₈-7₀₇) × 22

NH3/H20 -15

Table 1

0.5

Here

-25

Fig. 4

627110.303 627558.440 629140.493 629921.337 631702.813

.................

R