# Herschel status

HUG#3 meeting, 3-4/05/2011 Göran Pilbratt, Herschel Project Scientist

# Contents





## **Operational statistics**

• Input from HScOM

## **Observing status**

• Routinely generated statistics

## Communications

## **Observing opportunities**

- Lifetime status
- HST#45 discussions







# **Operational statistics –** Input by Leo Metcalfe, HScOM

Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #3

# **Introductory Remarks**

- HSC thanks to the HUG for its detailed recommendations and the thoroughness of its work. This is very much appreciated.
- Due to the relatively short interval between HUG#2 and HUG#3, coupled with the detail of the HUG recommendations and the fact that we only have had the final HUG#2 report for a short time (this is not a criticism ref. (1) above), we have had limited time to develop our response to the HUG#2 report.

We will do what we can to respond in this meeting, but we refer the HUG to the living response page at:

http://herschel.esac.esa.int/twiki/bin/view/HSC/Hug2Response





# Herschel OD#170 to 610 - HST#44



Total lost time from OD#170 to OD#610 from all sources : 336 hours (3.5%)

European Space Agency

# Herschel OD#350 to 645 – HUG#2



Total lost time from OD 170 to OD 645 – all sources : 357 hours (3.8%)

# Herschel OD#350 to 714 - HUG#3





Total lost time from OD 170 to OD 700 – all sources : 421 hours (3.9%)



# Herschel OD#350 to 714 – HUG#3





# Herschel OD#350 to 714 – HUG#3



# **Community Support: Notable Events**





SPACE DBSERVATORY

*HERSCHEL* 

# esa

# Comm Suppt: Notable Events (cont'd)

Events	Dates
OT2 Observation Planning Workshop @ (both!) HSC & NHSC	June 20, 21
Stabilisation and testing of HCSS/HIPEv8.0 begins	June 21
HIPE Forum 2011 @ Garmisch (used to be CSDT meeting)	June 28-30
HST Meeting#46 @ ESAC	July 11, 12
AO-2/OT2 Call closes	Sep.15
Stabilisation and testing of HCSS/HIPEv9.0 begins	Oct. 4
HST Meeting#47 @ ESAC	Oct. 24, 25
DLCM#4 (TBD)	early Nov.
HOTAC OT2 meeting	Nov.8-11
Herschel Spectrometry Calibration Workshop (@ ESAC??)	Dec.7-9





# **Community Support: Helpdesk**



	Week Ending	Helpdesk questions	Week Ending	Helpdesk questions
OT1 Call Closure	July 23 2010	140		
	December 03	87	February 18	56
	December 10	14	February 25	40
	December 17	33	March 04	24
	December 24	20	March 11	38
	December 31	1	March 18	24
	Jan.07 2011	22	March 25	24
	January 14	22	April 01	38
	January 21	29	April 08	16
	January 28	57	April 15	17
	February 04	35	April 22	21
	February 11	55	April 29	36



HERSCHEL OBSERVATORY

# Mission Planning: POS delivery to MOC

Week Ending	POSs delivered HSC to MOC	Look ahead (at delivery)	Week Ending	POSs delivered HSC to MOC	Look ahead (at delivery)
October 29	15	16 ODs	February 4	10	18 ODs
November 05	10	16 ODs	February 11	9	20 ODs
November 12	6	13 ODs	February 18	6	18 ODs
November 19	16	18 ODs	February 25	7	17 ODs
November 26	8	18 ODs	March 04	4	14 ODs
December 03	7	18 ODs	March 11	11	18 ODs
December 10	8	18 ODs	March 18	8	18 ODs
December 17	9	17 ODs	March 25	4	15 ODs
December 24	12	22 ODs	April 01	13	17 ODs
December 31	0	15 ODs	April 08	9	16 ODs
January 7	7	14 ODs	April 15	9	18 ODs
January 14	4	11 ODs	April 22	7	17 ODs
January 21	14	18 ODs	April 29	13	16 ODs
January 28	10	16 ODs	<average></average>	<8.7>	<16.7>

-----

SPACE OBSERVATORY HERSCHEL

Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #13

# Mission Planning: Science time per OD



Recent Cycles*	Average time used per OD for observations (hrs)	Science** (hrs)	Calibration (hrs)	Engineering (hrs)
Cycle 35	23.77	21.04	1.33	1.37
Cycle 36	23.35	20.57	1.08	1.35
Cycle 37	22.82	19.94	0.89	2.0
Cycle 38	23.05	18.18	3.15	1.73
<i>Cycles</i> 25-38		<19.44>		

\*  $\rightarrow$  A planning cycle is 14 days long

\*\*  $\rightarrow$  Hours of science before time losses due to contingencies

# DP: HIPE Downloads – by Oprtg System CSA



Operating System		TOTAL			
Operating System	01 Jan28 Jan.	29 Jan25 Feb.	26 Feb25 Mar.	Mar.26-Apr.22	20 Jun15 Apr.
Linux32	30	16	42		291
Linux64	62	42	105		599
MacOSX	80	66	143		674
Windows32	26	14	20		210
Windows64	18	10	30		119





HERSCHEL OBSERVATORY

HIPE Downloads 20 June 2010 to 15 April 2011 : 1893							
HIPE version	Number of HIPE downloads	HIPE version	Number of HIPE downloads				
<v3< td=""><td>10</td><td>5.0.0*</td><td>224</td></v3<>	10	5.0.0*	224				
3.0.1*	218	5.1.0*	233				
3.1.1	33	5.2.0	64				
3.2.0	20	5.3.0	55				
4.0.0	100	6.0.0	52				
4.1.0	25	6.0.1	10				
4.2.0*	328	6.0.2	11				
4.3.0	49	6.0.3*	308				
4.4.0	64	6.1.0	44				
4.5.0	21						
4.6.0	24						

\* = major user releases





AOT Observations	1349
AOTs Processed to Level 2	1349

Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #17



(Still relatively little demand)

# Bulk Reprocessing v6.1 Status (1)

Number of ODs reprocessed	51
Number of observations reprocessed	1789
Number of observations successfully reprocessed	1753
Number of observations unsuccessfully reprocessed	36





SPACE OBSERVATORY

*HERSCHEL* 



## System Throughput (S):

- Big leap forward (Excellent work from DP dev team !):
  - v4.1bulk (S < 4 ODs/day)
  - v6.1 bulk ( S ~ 20 ODs/day)

# - **GRID Stability (Work ongoing)**:

- Some remaining issues, requiring manual intervention to steer the process.
- Working in a change of HCSS-GRID interaction model to solve definitively recurring issues.













# Archive (HAS): Requests to Archive





# Archive (HAS): Growth of Content



Sa

# **HSC Status Summary**

- Today OD 719 is proceeding: all HSC Systems are Nominal
- High-priority to continue to address HUG recommendations
- The impact of contingencies is a little increased at 3.9% (but this is noise)
- AO2/GT2 closure approaching May 12
- New Observing Modes:
  - PACS Unchopped Spectroscopy Bright-Line mode (released in GT2 April 7)
  - On-board Gyro Propagation/CP Raster still undergoing tests
- Mission Planning is stable







# **HSC Status Summary**

- DP is very stable. (See SO talk for progress in pipeline product quality)
  - New Bulk reprocessing started this week with HCSSv6.1
- The archive (HSA) is stable and in an advanced state
  - Pushing to have Browse Products for all instruments in HSA
- Agreement with Planck/HFI to use HFI data in SPIRE calibration
- 2 of 3 new posts for HSC in Instr.Cal./Comm.Supp. area blocked
  - 1 position freed-up. Unlikely to get better than that!





SPACE DBSERVATORI

FRSCHFI





# **Observing status**



Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #26

# **Observing status**



Research & Science Home	ESA Public Web Site	Sci-Tech Portal	Herschel Public Web Site	Herschel Sci-Tech Portal		
eesa	Herschel Science Centre					
Astrophysics Missions	Planetary Exploration Missions	Solar Terrestrial Science Missions	Fundamental Physics Missions	Science Faculty		
				3-May-2011 15:19:01		



#### Herschel General Information

Herschel Science Centre Home	۲
Latest News	۲
Mission Overview	۲
Science Instruments	۲
Conferences/Workshops	۲
Press Releases	۲
e-News	۲
Herschel Science Team	۲
Herschel Users' Group	۲
Herschel People	۲
Useful links	Þ
Herschel	

nnouncement of

#### **Herschel Latest News**

**Status summary:** Herschel was successfully launched together with Planck on 14 May 2009. Currently Herschel is conducting routine science phase operations. As of OD#715 (29 April 2011), the approximate completion percentages were: 80% KPGT; 76% KPOT; 55% GT1; 1.9% OT1 (remember GT1 is a factor of ten smaller than each of KPGT, KPOT, and OT1).



Elapsed time since launch on 14 May 2009 at 13:12 (UTC).



# 'Top' exe status OD#715 (29 Apr 2011) Cesa

Name	#AORs	Duration(h)	Alloc.(h)	# Executed	% # Executed	Executed(h)	% T Executed
TOO_alevan_3	5	0.85	0.00	5	100.00	0.85	100.00
DDT_Idecin_2	7	3.06	3.10	7	100.00	3.06	100.00
DDT_lorourke_14	2	0.96	1.00	2	100.00	0.96	100.00
GT1_azavagno_1	14	8.66	8.70	14	100.00	8.66	100.00
GT1_bdevries_1	1	3.88	3.90	1	100.00	3.88	100.00
GT1_epoleham_1	27	7.02	7.00	27	100.00	7.02	100.00
GT1_ivaltcha_1	7	12.05	12.00	7	100.00	12.05	100.00
GT1_jcernich_4	13	41.95	41.95	13	100.00	41.95	100.00
GT1_jfritz_1	4	18.33	18.20	4	100.00	18.33	100.00
GT1_mkidger_2	12	10.70	10.70	12	100.00	10.70	100.00
GT1_okrause_4	4	24.20	24.40	4	100.00	24.20	100.00
KPOT_aedge_1	77	129.27	140.50	77	100.00	129.27	100.00
KPOT_ckrame01_1	33	144.10	191.90	33	100.00	144.10	100.00
KPOT_delbaz_1	191	361.30	362.60	191	100.00	361.30	100.00
KPOT_mmeixner_1	29	234.83	238.00	29	100.00	234.83	100.00
KPOT_pvanderw_1	62	99.98	100.00	62	100.00	99.98	100.00
OT1_tpreibis_1	2	6.94	6.90	2	100.00	6.94	100.00
TOO_awehrle_2	55	5.12	11.00	55	100.00	5.12	100.00
TOO_scorbel_1	4	4.36	4.40	4	100.00	4.36	100.00
KPGT_rguesten_1	418	270.99	291.00	417	99.76	270.72	99.90
KPGT_seales01_1	237	95.15	112.60	235	99.16	94.81	99.64
KPGT_kmeisenh_1	404	149.24	156.00	394	97.52	145.99	97.82
KPOT_wlanger_1	573	223.19	223.00	559	97.56	216.79	97.13
GT1_magundez_1	66	10.41	10.41	64	96.97	10.11	97.13 1

**JBSERVATORY** 

#28

# KPGT exe status OD#715 (29 Apr 2011) CBSA

Name 🔺	#AORs	Duration(h)	Alloc.(h)	# Executed	% # Executed	Executed(h)	% T Executed
KPGT_aabergel_1	191	160.01	163.00	154	80.63	126.41	79.00
KPGT_cceccare_1	119	213.42	222.76	107	89.92	196.70	92.16
KPGT_cwilso01_1	102	144.68	143.90	69	67.65	111.68	77.19
KPGT_dlutz_1	376	663.18	654.90	353	93.88	614.57	92.67
KPGT_ebergin_1	301	325.09	346.80	287	95.35	313.26	96.36
KPGT_esturm_1	548	306.44	304.00	427	77.92	246.81	80.54
KPGT_evandish_1	743	397.84	410.16	705	94.89	368.62	92.66
KPGT_fmotte_1	88	133.81	126.00	72	81.82	118.58	88.62
KPGT_golofs01_1	43	63.07	61.00	41	95.35	60.21	95.47
KPGT_kmeisenh_1	404	149.24	156.00	394	97.52	145.99	97.82
KPGT_mgerin_1	954	112.77	112.98	872	91.40	100.27	88.91
KPGT_mgroen01_1	450	279.76	310.14	392	87.11	219.54	78.47
KPGT_okrause_1	240	84.89	95.20	238	99.17	82.34	96.99
KPGT_pandre_1	203	460.71	461.00	137	67.49	322.74	70.05
KPGT_pharto01_1	466	312.18	258.42	184	39.48	150.81	48.31
KPGT_rguesten_1	418	270.99	291.00	417	99.76	270.72	99.90
KPGT_seales01_1	237	95.15	112.60	235	99.16	94.81	99.64
KPGT_smadde01_1	298	105.03	104.90	266	89.26	83.76	79.75
KPGT_soliver_1	406	825.28	900.00	314	77.34	443.54	53.74
KPGT_vbujarra_1	327	180.37	190.99	305	93.27	167.72	92.99
KPGT_vossenko_1	284	138.84	138.89	211	74.30	78.28	56.38

# KPOT exe status OD#715 (29 Apr 2011) CBC

Name 🔺	#AORs	Duration(h)	Alloc.(h)	# Executed	% # Executed	Executed(h)	% T Executed
KPOT_aedge_1	77	129.27	140.50	77	100.00	129.27	100.00
KPOT_bdent_1	965	393.03	400.00	705	73.06	256.91	65.37
KPOT_bmatthew_1	865	139.67	140.00	647	74.80	106.62	76.33
KPOT_ceiroa_1	375	140.51	140.00	342	91.20	127.98	91.08
KPOT_ckrame01_1	33	144.10	191.90	33	100.00	144.10	100.00
KPOT_cmarti01_1	87	120.93	125.00	40	45.98	40.69	33.65
KPOT_delbaz_1	191	361.30	362.60	191	100.00	361.30	100.00
KPOT_eegami_1	132	308.91	292.30	124	93.94	291.52	94.37
KPOT_gsmith01_1	88	149.11	145.00	74	84.09	122.86	82.40
KPOT_jdavie01_1	30	242.75	286.00	28	93.33	226.57	93.34
KPOT_mjuvela_1	371	150.90	150.90	205	55.26	89.25	59.15
KPOT_mmeixner_1	29	234.83	238.00	29	100.00	234.83	100.00
KPOT_nevans_1	201	249.68	250.00	175	87.06	195.94	78.48
KPOT_pgolds01_1	147	139.83	140.00	131	89.12	127.77	91.38
KPOT_pvanderw_1	62	99.98	100.00	62	100.00	99.98	100.00
KPOT_rkennicu_1	499	536.83	536.60	349	69.94	276.80	51.56
KPOT_seales01_2	66	585.55	600.00	39	59.09	339.08	57.91
KPOT_smolinar_1	120	340.70	344.30	104	86.67	296.19	86.94
KPOT_thmuelle_1	1175	439.94	372.70	933	79.40	317.79	72.23
KPOT_tmegeath_2	378	199.84	200.00	166	43.92	75.75	37.91
KPOT_wlanger_1	573	223.19	223.00	559	97.56	216.79	97.13

# Instrexe status OD#715 (29 Apr 2011) Cesa

Status	HIFI(#)	HIFI(AORs %)	HIFI(h)	HIFI(T%)	PACS(#)	PACS(AORs %)	PACS(h)	PACS(T%)
ACCEPTED	382	6.02	119.18	3.43	949	5.89	700.61	7.64
EXECUTED	3723	58.69	1,949.69	56.04	6378	39.55	4,135.09	45.11
RELEASED	2194	34.59	1,392.07	40.02	8491	52.66	4,168.26	45.47
SCHEDULED	44	0.69	17.92	0.52	273	1.69	140.93	1.54
SUBMITTED	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	34	0.21	21.74	0.24
TOTAL	6343	100.00	3,478.86	100.00	16125	100.00	9,166.63	100.00
								<u>a</u> a
Status	SPIRE(#)	SPIRE(AORs %)	SPIRE(h)	SPIRE(T%)	SP_PAR(#)	SP_PAR(AORs %)	SP_PAR(h)	SP_PAR(T %)
EXECUTED	246	5.47	250.81	10.07	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SCHEDULED	1639	36.43	1,009.20	40.51	389	64.19	1,731.46	63.97

48.36

0.74

0.33

100.00

185

28

4

606

30.53

4.62

0.66

100.00

RELEASED

ACCEPTED

SUBMITTED

TOTAL

2526

4499

82

6

56.15

1.82

0.13

100.00

1,204.80

2,491.37

18.34

8.21

Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #31

819.56

144.28

11.30

2,706.61

30.28

5.33

0.42

100.00

# Execution status – longterm



#### CYCLE # OD RANGE

Cycle -4 119-132 1.01h Cycle -3 133-146 1.33h Cycle -2 147-160 8.61h Cycle -1 161-174 8.02h Cycle 0 175-188 11.01h Cvcle 1 189-202 11.47h Cycle 2 203-216 15.39h Cycle 3 217-230 19.08h Cycle 4 231-244 18.64h Cycle 5 245-258 15.16h Cycle 6 259-272 10.53h Cycle 7 273-286 7.28h Cycle 8 287-300 18.10h Cycle 9 301-314 10.80h Cycle 10 315-328 15.39h Cycle 11 329-342 15.05h Cycle 12 343-356 16.66h Cycle 13 357-370 17.29h Cycle 14 371-384 15.98h Cycle 15 385-398 16.17h Cycle 16 399-412 16.54h Cycle 17 413-426 17.39h Cycle 18 427-440 17.49h

#### CYCLE # OD RANGE

Cycle 19 441-454 18.37h / 18.13h Cycle 20 455-468 17.85h / 17.34h Cycle 21 469-482 20.71h / 20.34h Cycle 22 483-496 18.97h / 18.62h Cycle 23 497-510 19.83h / 19.83h Cycle 24 511-524 18.16h / 15.41h

Cycle 25 525-538 19.52h / 17.75h Cycle 26 539-552 18.86h / 17.03h Cycle 27 553-566 19.15h / 19.15h Cycle 28 567-580 18.08h / 15.32h Cycle 29 581-594 19.49h / 18.12h Cycle 30 595-608 18.33h / 18.33h Cycle 31 609-622 20.18h / 20.18h Cycle 32 623-636 19.09h / 19.01h Cycle 33 637-650 19.50h / 17.96h Cycle 34 651-664 20.15h / 17.16h Cycle 35 665-678 21.04h / 21.04h Cycle 36 679-692 20.57h / 20.57h Cycle 37 693-706 19.94h / 19.94h Cycle 38 707-720 18.18h / 18.18h??

19.44h / 18.55hTBC!! Average 25-38

#### As noted noted earlier:

- No obvious trend since • attaining high level
- Average loss in Cycles ٠ #25-38: ~4.6%
- These are all HOTAC-• approved observations 'charged' to observers
- This is the observing • time we can offer e.g. in a call
- The RSP calibration • and engineering obs. come on top











# Communications



Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #33





## ESA wants to communicate your results

- Provides expertise/resources science writers, image making
- Provides the channels websites, mailing lists, etc
- All material can be used by others
- Wants to create win-win situation

## **Possibilities**

- Web-releases Portal and/or SciTech
- Exceptionally fully fledged press release
  - Press reps invited in person
  - Done in connection with 'First Results Symp' in May 2010

## **Project Scientist is your contact point**

- PS will initiate process applying for resources
- Web-page providing information to come...

#### **Herschel Latest News**

Status summary: Herschel was successfully launched together with Planck on 14 May 2009. Currently Herschel is conducting routine science phase operations. As of OD#708 (22 April 2011), the approximate completion percentages were: 80% KPGT; 75% KPOT; 53% GT1; 1.5% OT1 (remember GT1 is a factor of ten smaller than each of KPGT, KPOT, and OT1).



Elapsed time since launch on 14 May 2009 at 13:12 (UTC).



Herschel OSHI app for iPhone has been released. The 'Online Showcase of Herschel Images' (OSHI) is a website providing Herschel images for viewing and downloading, with information about what they show and some metadata. Now the OSHI app for iPhone (and iPod touch and iPad) has been released and is available from your local iTunes app store for free. From left to right in the images above screenshots from the (Dutch) iTunes app store, OSHI website, and the latest additions to OSHI.



Herschel suggests filaments are created by supersonic turbulence. Herschel has already suggested a direct connection between interstellar filaments and star formation, in the sense that most of the protostars and 'prestellar cores' detected with Herschel lie along filaments (André al. 2010, A&&A, 518, L102). Now Herschel observations suggest that supersonic interstellar turbulence plays the dominating role in the formation of these filaments (Arzoumanian et al. 2011, A&A, 529, L6), rather than gravity or magnetic fields. In this picture star formation would then be a two-step process, turbulence creates filaments, then gravity creates the stars. In the images above IC5146 and Aquila (70, 250, 500 µm), and Polaris (250, 350, 500 µm) are shown from left to right, all have filaments, but no stars are forming in Polaris. For more information, including image captions, see the ESA Herschel SciTech webrelease, as well as the Space Science Portal.



Herschel A&A special features reprint booklet being shipped. The Astronomy & Astrophysics reprint booklet featuring the 202 Herschel first results papers from the A&A Special Issues volume 518 and 521 is now being shipped. The 1000+ pages booklet is about 4 cm thick and weighs over 2 kg. If all the copies produced were put in a pile it would be higher than the Ariane 5 that launched Herschel and have a mass in excess of the Herschel launch mass. In the picture above left Johannes Riedinger and Göran Pilbratt stand in front of the supply in ESTEC Stores, while above right Frank Helmich, Göran Pilbratt, Albrecht Poglitsch, and Matt Griffin are posing with one of the very first copies delivered to SRON Utrecht for a special event.



- Fact Sheet
- Objectives
- Mission Team
- Industrial Team

#### News

#### Spacecraft

- 3D Model
- Instruments
- Test Campaign

#### Mission Operations

- Launch
- Information
   Orbit/Navigation
- Of Dity Navigatio
- Launch Vehicle
- Launch Campaign
- Status Reports

#### Science Operations

- Science Ground Segment
- Data Archive

#### Astronomers' Website

#### Outreach Resources

#### Services

- Publications
- Conferences
- Calendar
- Subscribe
- Closesne

#### Herschel unravels the thread of star formation in the Gould Belt

#### 13 Apr 2011

An intricate network of filamentary structure, exposed in extraordinary detail by the Herschel Space Observatory, has provided new evidence for how stars form from the diffuse interstellar medium. These filaments, located in giant molecular clouds in the Gould Belt, all exhibit remarkably similar widths about one third of a light year - but only the densest ones contain pre-stellar cores, the seeds of future stars. These data suggest star formation is a two-step process: first turbulence stirs up the gas, giving rise to a web-like structure, then gravity takes over and governs the further fragmentation of filaments into stars.

Giant molecular clouds are huge complexes of gas and dust characterised by a very low density, even thinner than air. Many of these clouds are the sites of stellar birth, but how this diffuse mixture condenses into compact cores, which later evolve into stars, is still an open question in astrophysics.

"Filaments are the first structures to develop in the fragmentation process of molecular clouds, hence they're the objects to watch when investigating the very early stages of stellar formation," explains Philippe André from the Commissariat à



The star-forming cloud IC 5146. Credit: ESA/Herschel/SPIRE/ PACS/D. Arzoumanian (CEA Saclay) for the "Gould Belt survey" Key Programme Consortium

l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives (CEA), France. André is Principal Investigator for a Herschel Key Programme focussing on the Gould Belt, a giant ring of stars and star-forming regions in the vicinity of the Sun.

#### 2-May-2011 15:33:34 UT

🔿 Print this 🛛 🖾 Email this

#### IMAGES AND VIDEOS



Herschel image of IC 5146



Herschel image of Aquila Rift



M Herschel image of the Polaris Flare

MORE

#### SEE ALSO

Herschel links star formation to sonic booms

#### RELATED LINKS

The Gould Belt Survey

#### RELATED PUBLICATIONS

- Arzoumanian, D., et al. [2011]
- André, Ph., et al. [2010]



#### **European Space Agency**

· Herschel finds a hole in

hidden side of star birth

Herschel reveals the

Herschel takes the

temperature of an

space

ESA Life in Space		Expanding Frontiers	Improving Daily Life	Protecting the Environmer	t Benefits for Europe
					02-May-2011
Media Cent	tre			∟,≣	Herschel: ESA's giant
Press Releas	ses •				Infrared observatory
ESA Televisi	ion 🕨	Herschel links star fo	ormation to sonic booms		
Launch Med	lia Corner 🔹 🕨				
Exhibitions	•			100	
Services					More information
Calendar	*			A STATE OF	Herschel overview
Publications	•				Online Showcase of
Frequently a	asked •				Herschel Images OSHI
questions				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Herschel on YouTube
ESA-sponso Conferences	red •			CALL ON	• 🛅 Inside Herschel
Help	•			and the second	<ul> <li>Herschel mission</li> </ul>
Site Credits	•		and a state of the state of the	and the second second	objectives
Portal terms	s of use 🔸	1000	and the second second		Related articles
Comments	•		Aler Aler Co	and the second se	<ul> <li>Herschel links star formation to sonic booms</li> </ul>
Subscribe	•	A CONTRACTOR			Herschel finds less dark
Search					matter but more stars
	GO				<ul> <li>Andromeda's once and future stars</li> </ul>
		200			<ul> <li>Recipe for water: just add starlight</li> </ul>
		Dense filemente of one is	105146		<ul> <li>Herschel and Planck win the French Grand Prix</li> </ul>

Dense filaments of gas in IC5146

#### 13 April 2011

ESA's Herschel space observatory has revealed that nearby interstellar clouds contain networks of tangled gaseous filaments. Intriguingly, each filament is approximately the same width, hinting that they may result from interstellar sonic booms throughout our Galaxy.





# **Observing oppportunities**



Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #38

# AO-2 overall schedule

#### GT2

- Opening: 7 April 2011
- Proposal submission deadline: 12 May 2011
- Followed by:
  - D/SRE decision
  - 'phase 2' AOR consolidation
  - HROST update for OT phase

#### **OT2**

- Opening: 9 June 2011
- Proposal submission deadline: 15 September 2011
- HOTAC meeting: week of 7 November 2011
- Followed by:
  - D/SRE decision
  - 'phase 2' AOR consolidation
  - HROST update





SPACE DBSERVATOR FRSCHFI





#### Need first to talk about:

# **Mission lifetime**



Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #40

# Mission (cryostat) lifetime



DBSERVATO

PACE

ERSC



# Mission (cryostat) lifetime







SPACE DBSERVATORY

*<b>HERSCH* 

Time

# Mission (cryostat) lifetime





Uncertainties in predicted lifetime hampers AO-2 planning

• How much observing time is there to offer!?

**Question put to industry:** 

# What can be done to arrive at the best possible lifetime prediction??

# AO-2 overall issue



SPACE DBSERVATORI

FRSCHEL



# **Mission lifetime prediction**

#### **Thermal modelling**

- Based on in-flight stationary conditions
- Not updated since In-Orbit Commissioning Review in July 2009??

#### **DLCM measurements**

- At first sight 'confirm' the TMM prediction
- But how significant are the error bars?
- What about systematics?

#### Can modelling and measurements be 'combined'?

#### Can other 'indicators' be gainfully employed?

I sent an email asking this kind of questions on 1 Dec 2010







# Mission lifetime prediction – way fwd!?



#### Informal discussions has led me to a proposal

- Containing a number of possible actions
- For discussion in this meeting

#### Perform additional DLCMs

- Additional DLCMs 'cost' is ~8 hr observing time per measurement
  - Perform DLCM#3 as late as possible with result available in early June – for release of OT2 call (early indication)
  - Perform DLCM#4 as late as possible with result available in early November – for OT2 time allocation (better indication)

#### Analyse the PACS & SPIRE cooler recyclings

- Constitute set of 'mini-DLCMs'
- 'Worse' measurements but much more frequent
- Can be 'calibrated' using 'real' DLCMs

#### Analyse the warm-up of SPIRE JFETs at turn-on

• Provide handle on instantaneous mass-flow as function of time



# Mission lifetime prediction – way fwd!?



• Is e.g. the slow rise of cryostat temperature already included??

## Put forward to industry in PM#4 on 31 March 2011

#### A separate but related point

- Is the 'mission lifetime' really the valid 'lifetime' concept?
  - Do we have all science capabilities until the last day?
- I have asked this question to both industry and ex-Project (HerschelST#43-Action#4)
  - Industry (BC): Will work close to boil-off. (What is 'close to'?)
  - Project (CJ/ThP): There is a requirement that the cryostat should provide full functionality at least down to 5 kg (~3 weeks) of SLHe left. (Validation?)
- Also need addressing (but hopefully is not very important)





# Mission lifetime prediction – way fwd!

#### Decision...

- DLCM#3 in late May as requested!
  - Current date is 24 May
- No decision about DLCM#4
  - 'We only decide about the next measurement'
- Need to argue based on DLCM#3 outcome

#### Possible alternatives/additions

Talk by Bernard Collaudin

Alternatives to DLCM

- Few Alternative/Complimentary measurements can be considered:
  - Use of Cooler recycling regeneration heat (similar DLCM)
  - Use of SPIRE JFET (= measure of mass flow rate)
  - L1 (vent line)

#### Conclusion

- Alternative methods cannot replace DLCM
  - Lower precision, unknown parameters
  - But allow to identify on regular basis if abnormal behaviour happens









•  $\Delta E$  is a constant for PACS as long as the evaporator of the cooler is really empty!

PACS Status











DBSERVATOR

PAGE

ERSI



helUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #52





![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Now we can talk about:

# **Observing opportunities**

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #56

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

The crucial point:

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

# The world has changed between the OT1 call and the OT2 call

# Predicted lifetime at OT1 call

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Predicted lifetime at OT2 call

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Approved observations yet to be executed by end Q1/2011

• These are actual numbers as per end of March 2011

As per the end of Q1/2011 the following amounts of allocated time are still to be executed:

- KPGT 1446 hr => 80/76/72 days with 18/19/20 hr/day
- KPOT 1582 hr => 88/83/79 days with 18/19/20 hr/day
- GT1 286 hr => 16/15/14 days with 18/19/20 hr/day
- OT1p1 4894 hr => 272/258/245 days with 18/19/20 hr day
- OT1p2 1590 hr => 88/84/80 days with 18/19/20 hr/day

If KPGT/KPOT/GT1 are added together then what is yet to be executed amounts to 184/174/165 days with 18/19/20 hr/day executed. This is 6.1/5.8/5.5 months (with 30-day months).

For OT1p1 this amounts to 9.1/8.6/8.2 months, and for OT1p2 it amounts to 2.9/2.8/2.7 months.

In the most recent 13 mission planning cycles (cycles 24-36, each cycle is 2 weeks), thus in the most recent 6 months, the actual execution achieved is 18.2 hr/day. (In the last two cycles it is 20.8 hr/day.)

Conservatively, it can be concluded that for **KPGT/KPOT/GT1 together need 6 months** for execution, while **OT1p1 needs 9 months**, and **OT1p2 needs 3 months** (almost). These numbers of course assume no major increase in problems compared to the situation experienced in the last 6 months.

![](_page_59_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

	2011				2012				2013			
Herschel execution status end Q1/2011	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Bevond end of March												
KPGT/KPOT/GT1 6 months												
OT1p1 9 months												
OT1p2 3 months												
Niccion lifetime ecoumption 04/2012												
Mission lifetime assumption Q4/2012												
Possimietic 02/2012												
Optimistic Q3/2012												

SPACE OBSERVATORY ERSCH

# HST#45 discussion

#### What to do ...?

- Clearly there is an 'issue' with (the amount of) available time to offer in the OT2 call
- Lots of discussion in the HST#45
- Two extreme cases illustrated (note: always need 6 months 'extra')

#### Case 1:

- All OT1 observations (both OT1p1 and p2) take precedence over all OT2 (both OT2p1 and p2) observations
- All OT\*p1 observations will be executed with high confidence (use pessimistic end of lifetime uncertainty)
- There is realistically **no** time to offer for OT2p1

#### Case 2:

- OT1p1 (but not OT1p2) observations take precedence over OT2p1
- All OT\*p1 observations will be executed with fair confidence (use nominal end of lifetime)
- Then 6 months of OT2p1 time can be offered

![](_page_61_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Assume lifetime end Q4/2012 – uncertainty ± 3 months

	2011				2012				2013			
Herschel execution status end Q1/2011	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Status end of March												
KPGT/KPOT/GT1 6 months												
OT1p1 9 months												
OT1p2 3 months												
Case 1												
OT1p2 3 months												
OT2p2 12 months												
Case 2												
OT2p1 6 months												
OT1p2 3 months												
OT2p2 6 months												
Mission lifetime assumption Q4/2012												
Nominal Q4/2012												
Pessimistic Q3/2012												
Optimistic Q1/2013												

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

	2011				2012				2013			
Herschel execution status end Q1/2011	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Beyond end of March												
KPGT/KPOT/GT1 6 months												
OT1p1 9 months												
OT1p2 3 months												
Mission lifetime assumption Q4/2012												
Nominal Q4/2012												
Pessimistic Q3/2012												
Optimistic Q1/2013												

#### Assume lifetime end Q3/2012 – uncertainty ± 3 months

	2011				2012				2013			
Herschel execution status end Q1/2011	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Status end of March												
KPGT/KPOT/GT1 6 months												
OT1p1 9 months												
OT1p2 3 months												
Mission lifetime assumption Q3/2012												
Nominal Q3/2012												
Pessimistic Q2/2012												
Optimistic Q4/2012												

SPACE OBSERVATORY ERSCHEL

# HST#45 discussion

#### What to do ...?

- Clearly there is an 'issue' with (the amount of) available time to offer in the OT2 call
- Lots of discussion in the HST#45
- Two extreme cases illustrated (note: always need 6 months 'extra')

#### Case 1:

- All OT1 observations (both OT1p1 and p2) take precedence over all OT2 (both OT2p1 and p2) observations
- All OT\*p1 observations will be executed with high confidence (use pessimistic end of lifetime uncertainty)
- There is **no** time to offer for OT2p1 (and even OT1p2 problem)

#### Case 2:

- OT1p1 (but not OT1p2) observations take precedence over OT2p1
- All OT\*p1 observations will be executed with fair confidence (use nominal end of lifetime)
- Then 3 months of OT2p1 time can be offered

![](_page_64_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Assume lifetime end Q3/2012 – uncertainty ± 3 months

	2011				2012				2013			
Herschel execution status end Q1/2011	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Status end of March												
KPGT/KPOT/GT1 6 months												
OT1p1 9 months												
OT1p2 3 months												
Case 1												
OT1p2 3 months												
OT2p2 9 months												
Case 2												
OT2p1 3 months												
OT1p2 3 months												
OT2p2 6 months												
Mission lifetime assumption Q3/2012												
Nominal Q3/2012												
Pessimistic Q2/2012												
Optimistic Q4/2012												

Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #66

esa

#### What to do ...?

 Clearly there is an 'issue' with (the amount of) available time to offer in the OT2 call – end of Q3 looks more realistic than end Q4

#### What was agreed:

- We all agree that room must be created for new proposals.
- The 'world has changed' since the time of the OT1 call, therefore reasonable to 'change rules'
- This means that OT2p1 proposals must have higher priority than OT1p2 observations.
- The limited time available (current best estimate of order 3-4 months) should be offered for new OT2p1 proposals

#### What was not agreed:

- How to treat the OT1p2 proposals; three options were discussed:
  - (i) 'stay as is' (remain as OT1p2 with low chance of execution)
  - (ii) 'throw them all in' (basically people loose their allocations, but can possibly 'regain' at a higher priority)
  - (iii) 'some intermediate concept' (e.g. ask people re (i) or (ii)??)

![](_page_66_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_16.jpeg)

*HERSCHEL* 

![](_page_67_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **THANK YOU!**

Göran Pilbratt | HerschelUG#3 | ESAC | 3 May 2011 | vg #68