The Herschel Orion Protostar Survey: Photometry in Complex Fields Will Fischer (U. Toledo)

Tom Megeath (U. Toledo; HOPS PI) Amy Stutz (MPIA) John Tobin (NRAO) Marina Kounkel (U. Toledo) Babar Ali (NHSC) Thomas Stanke (ESO) Mayra Osorio (IAA) Elise Furlan (NHSC) Lori Allen (NOAO) Nuria Calvet (U. Michigan) Lee Hartman (U. Michigan) Charles Poteet (RPI) Joseph Booker (U. Toledo)

OMC 3 Spitzer 3.6 μm Herschel 70 μm Herschel 160 μm

Dan Watson (U. Rochester) Manoj Puravankara (U. Rochester) Ruud Visser (U. Michigan) Roland Vavrek (ESA) David Neufeld (JHU) Ted Bergin (U. Michigan) Melissa McClure (U. Michigan) **Thomas Henning (MPIA)** James DiFrancesco (NRC) Klaus Pontopiddan (STScl) Tom Wilson (NRL) James Muzerolle (STScI) Phil Myers (CfA)

HOPS: Herschel Orion Protostar Survey

PACS imaging and spectroscopy of Spitzer-identified protostars in the Orion molecular clouds

Main components:

- 1. PACS imaging at 70 and 160 μm of 300+ protostars: 108 fields of 5' to 8' on a side
- 2. PACS spectroscopy of 33 targets

Plus... HST imaging Spitzer imaging, spectroscopy APEX imaging Other ground-based data

> A complete survey of the largest star-forming region within 500 pc



HOPS covers a range of environments: from single protostars with little nebulosity to highly complex regions

Mapping techniques:

Photproject for photometry (HPF-30 at 70 µm; HPF-40 at 160 µm)

Scanamorphos or MADmap to study extended emission

Photometry agrees to within a few percent across techniques



HOPS Aperture Photometry

• Use Rob Gutermuth's *Photvis* IDL app for source detection, aperture photometry (http://www.astro.umass.edu/~rguter/Rob_Gutermuth_Astronomy/IDL_Page.html)

Photvis extracts sources with width of order the PSF FWHM, an input parameter (5" at 70 µm, 12" at 160 µm)

User sets a S/N threshold (we use 7)



HOPS Aperture Photometry

• Use Rob Gutermuth's *Photvis* IDL app for source detection, aperture photometry (http://www.astro.umass.edu/~rguter/Rob_Gutermuth_Astronomy/IDL_Page.html)

00	🗙 emacs22@n	naz.local	
File Edit Options Buffers Tools Help			
🗅 🗁 📑 🗙 📑 🔏 🥱	x 🖬 🛍 🖻 🚢 💥 🙆)	
;Generated on Wed Sep 26 16:50: ;Filename used for sources: ;/Users/wfischer/idl/herschel/d ;Wavelength = 70 um ;Positions are determined with : ;Fluxes and uncertainties (Unc) ;Map coverage (Cov) is in detcc ;Ap/InSky/OutSky (arcsec): ;Aperture correction applied (f ;Source Group RA	5 2012 .ta/g312/g312_blue_high_hpf30.f: hotVis are in Jy .or pixels 9.6 9.6 19.20 ux is divided): 0.7331 Dec Rnd Shp	its FWHM Flux Unc	Cov
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.7\\ 13.0\\ 32.5\\ 21.4\\ 15.4\\ 32.1\\ 113.9\\ 566.4\\ 563.3\\ 537.2\\ 547.1\\ 544.6\\ 148.1\\ 15.6\\ 28.4\\ 517.7\\ 506.7\\ 13.8\\ 31.8\\ 341.8\\ 240.0\\ 2256.7\\ 220.7\\ 14.2\\ 57.3\\ 33.8\\ 98.3\\ 57.4\\ 37.8\\ 19.5\\ \end{array}$
: q312_blue_cat.txt All L37	(Text)		

FWHM, coverage are retained to be used as criteria in the final catalog

Reject edge sources (coverage ≤ 100), extended sources (FWHM $\leq 8''$)

Aperture Parameters

- Orion nebular background can be strong and non-uniform
- To reduce contamination, we use small apertures and close-in sky annuli

λ	Ap Radius	Inner Sky	Outer Sky
70 µm	9.6″	9.6″	19.2″
160 µm	12.8″	12.8″	25.6″

- Non-negligible source flux in small sky annuli means signal is subtracted
- HIPE does not account for annulus size in its aperture correction tool (photApertureCorrectionPointSource)
- Need custom aperture corrections to recover subtracted signal

00

🔀 atv aperture photometry







HOPS PSF Photometry



Aperture photometry is problematic in crowded regions

- Extended emission
- Blending of point sources

Mainly a concern at 160 µm (envelopes may be resolved)

PSF Photometry: StarFinder

- Testing StarFinder as a means of PSF photometry (Diolaiti et al. 2000, Proc. SPIE, 4007, 879)
- Uses spatial filtering to remove backgrounds – and thus, some of PSFs – so must recalibrate with aperture photometry of isolated sources



- Pros:
 - Used in the Herschel community
 - Allows treatment of complex backgrounds via spatial filtering
 - Relatively user-friendly

- Cons:
 - Requires independent calibration
 - Lacks documentation of some "sausage-making" details
 - Some potentially valuable functionality difficult to implement (e.g., fixed source positions)



StarFinder

- Mysterious that a power law is the best calibration; tests are ongoing
- Differences remain between aperture and PSF photometry for HOPS



HOPS Analysis: Fitting SEDs with a Model Grid

- Use the Whitney et al. RT code
- 3040 model protostars
- 10 viewing angles
- 30,400 unique SEDs
- Vary parameters relevant for protostars
 - Envelope density
 - Luminosity
 - Cavity opening angle
 - Disk radius

- Mass Infall opening angle Disk Disk Disk Cavity Envelope Ali et al. 2010
- Fits are evaluated with a χ^2 -like statistic
- We track the bolometric properties of the best fits
 - Integrated luminosity
 - Bolometric temperature: effective temperature of a blackbody with the same mean frequency as the SED

Fitting SEDs with a Model Grid



How does Herschel improve our understanding of the timeline for star formation?

- Do our estimates of source properties change with the inclusion of far-IR data?
- Are we missing a population of cold sources?

Pre-Herschel: BLT diagram for 5 nearby clouds (without A_V correction) (Evans et al. 2009: Spitzer c2d)

-po

The BLT diagram is essentially an HR diagram for protostars



Tracing Protostellar Evolution with Bolometric Temperature



Effect of Inclination on Bolometric Temperature



Inc = 57° T_{bol} = 371 K



Red: Thermal Emission Blue: Thermal + Scattered

Inclination-averaged bolometric temperature is $\langle T_{bol} \rangle = 393 \text{ K}$

The 24 µm / 70 µm flux ratio traces inclination





Tracing Protostellar Evolution with Inclination-Averaged Bolometric Temperature



```
Number = 168
<L> = 4.9 L<sub>☉</sub>
<dM/dt> = 5.0 x 10<sup>-7</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>
<Inc> = 63°
```

```
Number = 109
<L> = 2.5 L⊚
<dM/dt> = 5.0 x 10<sup>-6</sup> M⊚ yr<sup>-1</sup>
<Inc> = 63°
```

Number = 17 <L> = 1.5 L⊚ <dM/dt> = 2.5 x 10⁻⁵ M⊚ yr⁻¹ <Inc> = 41°





HOPS is characterizing the 300+ Spitzer-identified Orion protostars with PACS and extensive ancillary data

Photometric Techniques

- Nebular emission: small apertures, close-in sky annuli, custom aperture corrections
- PSF photometry with StarFinder: HOPS PSF fluxes differ from aperture fluxes (under investigation)

Effect of Herschel Imaging and Inclination Correction on Protostellar Properties

- Many apparent Class 0 protostars are more evolved but appear young due to edge-on orientations
- 70 μm / 24 μm flux ratio drives SED-based inclinations; generally confirmed with HST imaging of scattered-light nebulae
- After correcting for inclination, luminosity increases with evolutionary state
- Herschel revealed 11 new unambiguous Class 0 protostars and 14 more candidates
- Accounting for new Herschel sources, protostars spend about
 - 10% of their lifespan in a high-infall stage (Class 0)
 - 40% of their lifespan in a moderate-infall stage (early Class I)
 - 50% of their lifespan in a low-infall stage (late Class I)